

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/082,892	10/082,892 02/26/2002		Pradeep Trivedi	03226/166001 (P7131)	2795
32615	7590	03/08/2005		EXAMINER	
OSHA & M			PERVEEN, REHANA		
1221 MCKINNEY, SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, TX 77010				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2116	
				DATE MAILED: 03/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer	10/082,892	TRIVEDI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Rehana Perveen	2116					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	ebruary 2002.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-16,24-28 and 36-40</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>17-23,29-35 and 41-47</u> is/are objected							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		d in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of							
of the diagnost detailed office action for a list (	or the certified copies not received	1.					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	e					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/25/03.	5) Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/082,892

Art Unit: 2116

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-16, 24-28, and 36-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Parry et al, Patent No. 6,680,636.

As to claims 1, 11, and 24, Parry et al teach an integrated circuit comprising a clock source that outputs a clock signal, wherein the clock signal propagates down a first path, and a first bi-stable delay driver that inputs the clock signal at a point on the first path, wherein the first bi-stable delay driver is selectively sized based on a delay of the clock signal from the clock source to the point on the first path (col. 3 line 48 – col. 4 line 8 and col. 27 line 43 – col. 28 line 52).

As to claim 2, Parry et al teach a first element that inputs the clock signal and outputs a modulated clock signal, and a second element that inputs the modulated clock

Art Unit: 2116

signal and outputs a delay biased clock signal, wherein a size of the first element is variable (col. 1 line 48 – col. 2 line 8 and col. 6 lines 29-48).

As to claim 3, Parry et al teach the second element has a fixed size (col. 6 lines 29-48).

As to claim 4, Parry et al teach the clock signal propagates down a second path, and a second bi-stable delay driver that inputs the clock signal at a point on the second path, wherein the second bi-stable delay driver is selectively sized based on a delay of the clock signal from the clock source to the point on the second path (figure 3, col. 3 line 48 – col. 4 line 8 and col. 27 line 43 – col. 28 line 52).

As to claims 5, 9, and 25, Parry et al teach the first path and the second path each has a resistive component and a capacitive component (col. 2 lines 33-64).

As to claim 6, Parry et al teach a load on the first path and a load on the second path is unbalanced (col. 8 lines 38-53).

As to claim 7, Parry et al teach an RC delay of the first path is not equal to an RC delay of the second path (col. 7 line 54 – col. 8 line 37).

Application/Control Number: 10/082,892 Page 4

Art Unit: 2116

As to claim 8, Parry et al teach a length of the first path is not equal to a length of the second path (col. 2 lines 26-35).

As to claim 10 and 26, Parry et al teach the clock source is a clock header (col. 6 lines 49-65).

As to claim 27, Parry et al teach determining whether the first delay is less than a minimum delay, and decreasing a size of the first bi-stable delay driver if the first delay is less than a minimum delay (col. 8 line 54 – col. 9 line 25).

As to claim 28, Parry et al teach determining whether the first delay is greater than a maximum delay, and increasing a size of the first bi-stable delay driver if the first delay is greater than a maximum delay (col. 8 line 54 – col. 9 line 25).

Claims 12-16 are directed to the method of system claims 1-11 and 24-28, and claims 36-40 are directed to the computer readable medium of system claims 1-11 and 24-28. Parry et al teach the system as set forth in claims 1-11 and 24-28. Therefore, Parry et al also teach the method as set forth in claims 12-16. Further, Parry et al teach the computer readable medium as set forth in claims 36-40.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17-23, 29-35, and 41-47 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rehana Perveen whose telephone number is 571-272-3676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8:00am - 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H Browne can be reached on 571-272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rehana Perveen

Primary Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100